# RECONSTITUTION OF THE DIAPERINI OF NORTH AMERICA, WITH NEW SPECIES OF aDELINA AND SITOPHAGUS (COLEOPTERA: TENEBRIONIDAE) 

John T. Doyen<br>University of California, Berkeley, California 94720.

Abstract. - The tenebrionid tribe Diaperini is redefined on the basis of larval and adult features, and the tribe is reconstituted for North America. A key to genera is provided. Adelina latiramosa and Sitophagus alveolatus are newly described and a key is provided for the North and Central American species of Sitophagus.

Adelina Dejean (= Doliema Pascoe; Spilman, 1973) and Sitophagus Mulsant are closely related genera presently included in the tenebrionid tribe Ulomini. Adelina has been recently revised by Ardoin (1977), but several new species, including one described here, occur in the New World Tropics. Sitophagus has never been revised and includes an undescribed North American species. Despite their superficial similarity to Tribolium and other members of Ulomini, Adelina and Sitophagus are similar in all important taxonomic features to Diaperini (Tschinkel and Doyen, 1980; Doyen and Tschinkel, 1982). The purposes of the present report, aside from proposing names for the new species, are to clarify the relationships of Adelina and Sitophagus and to reorganize the tribe Diaperini to reflect the results of recent morphological and phylogenetic analyses.

## Adelina latiramosa, New Species

Figs. 1-2
Male. - Strongly flattened, elongate oval, medium brown. Cranium (Fig. 1) dorsally with punctures much smaller than eye facets, separated by about 1-2 puncture diameters; epistomal suture strong, impressed medially, dark with depressed lateral extremities reaching clypeal margin; clypeus prominent, truncate or weakly indented in middle; genae expanded as foliaceus processes extending anterolaterad of eyes and terminating as slender, downcurved horns (Fig. 1a), bifid in large individuals (Fig. 1b). Antennae subfiliform, with segments longer than broad; segment length ratios as follows: 2.0:1.0:1.9:1.5:1.6:1.6:1.7:1.7:1.8: 1.6:1.9. Eyes strongly emarginated by genal canthus; ventral lobe about twice size of dorsal. Mentum subquadrate, broader than long, with slender, sharply pointed projection in middle. Gular sutures confluent anteriorly.

Pronotal disk sculptured as cranium, finely margined except anteromedially, basal and apical widths subequal; anterior angles rounded, basal angles barely acute; posterior margin weakly, concavely bisinuate; hypomeron with punctation slightly denser, coarser; prosternal process nearly twice as broad behind as between coxae, sides nearly straight, apex broadly rounded.


Figs. 1-2. Adelina latiramosa. 1a, Dorsal aspect of head, small male; 1b, dorsal aspect of head, large male. 2, Aedeagus, a, ventral; b, lateral; c, detail of median lobe.

Elytral disk very weakly convex, 8th interstria angulate, with lateral portion subvertical; strial punctures subequal to eye facets in diameter, regularly separated by about one puncture diameter; interstriae very finely, moderately densely punctate. Mesosternum shallowly but distinctly concave; metasternum set with punctures nearly as large as eye facets, these becoming slightly larger and denser on metepisternum, abdominal sternites finely punctatorugulose. Femora strongly clavate, flattened; protibiae with dorsal margins bearing row of closely set, short, blunt spines; outer tibial spurs much larger, stouter than inner; meso- and metatibiae with dorsal edges bearing fine, irregular, crenulate ridge; inner spurs slightly larger than outer.

Aedeagus as in Fig. 2, with clavae fleshy, penis with sclerotized rods converging anteriorly, apex fleshy with paired, dorsally directed laciniae.

Elytral length, 3.9-4.5 mm; greatest elytral width, 2.4-2.6 mm; median pronotal length, $1.1-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$; greatest pronotal width $2.1-2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Female.-Unknown.
Holotype ô (California Academy of Sciences) and 2 paratypes from Mexico, Puebla, 45 mi. N Acatlan, July 30, 1963, J. T. Doyen.

Adelina latiramosa is similar to A. bifurca (Champion) in the shape of the genal projections. It differs from bifurca and all other described species in its strongly projecting, truncate clypeus (Fig. 1). It has the 8th elytral interstria angulate (carinate in bifurca).

The following modification in Ardoin's key (1977: 15) will accommodate latiramosa.
3. Elytral disk completely flat, the transition to the marginal, subvertical part marked throughout its length by a sharp carina. Fossae at the base of the pronotum large and deep

- Elytral disk slightly convex, the transition to the marginal subvertical part rounded, with a feeble carina apparent only behind the humerus. Fossae at the base of the pronotum reduced
3a. Anterior margin of head bearing a pair of arcuate, anterodorsally directed horns arising just lateral to the clypeus; clypeus rounded

- Anterior margin of head expanded anterolaterally before the eyes as horizontal, foliaceous processes; clypeus truncate or medially indented.
latiramosa new species


## Sitophagus alveolatus, New Species

Figs. 3-4
Male.-Moderately flattened, elongate oval, medium to dark brown. Cranium (Fig. 3) dorsally with punctures about half eye facet diameter, separated by about one puncture diameter on frons, slightly sparser on clypeus; epistomal suture distinct, lateral arms meeting medial portion at nearly right angles; clypeus deeply, broadly emarginate medially, prominent laterally, subhorizontal and curved slightly inward; labroclypeal membrane broadly exposed. Antennae filiform at base, becoming serrate apically, with segments $7-10$ about as broad as long; antennal length ratios as follows: 1.6:0.7:1.9:1.2:1.2:1.2:1.2:1.2:1.0:0.9:1.1. Eyes strongly emarginated by epistomal canthus; ventral lobe slightly larger than dorsal. Mentum trapezoidal, broader than long, convex with strong tumescence just behind anterior border bearing minute apical pore. Gular sutures confluent anteriorly.

Pronotal disk more finely, sparsely punctate than cranium, finely margined except anteromedially; apical width distinctly less than basal; lateral margins arcuate, more strongly so in anterior half; anterior angles rounded; posterior angles very narrowly rounded, barely obtuse; posterior margin distinctly bisinuate. Hypomeron and sternum finely punctatorugulose; prosternal process slightly wider behind than between coxae, declivous, rounded apically.

Elytral disk weakly convex, lateral margins evenly rounded; strial punctures slightly larger than eye facets, separated by about one puncture diameter; interstriae weakly convex, finely, sparsely punctate. Mesosternum moderately concave medially; metasternum with punctures as large as eye facets, separated by $1-2$ puncture diameters, becoming nearly confluent on metepimeron; abdominal sternites anteriorly with punctures about as large as eye facets, separated by about one puncture diameter, becoming finer, sparser near posterior margin, and on 5th sternite. Femora strongly clavate, slightly flattened; protibiae bearing fine, crenulate ridge on dorsal face; tibial spurs subequal on all legs.

Aedeagus as in Fig. 4, with clavae fleshy with few extremely fine setae; penis with subparallel, sclerotized rods slightly downcurved at apex.

Elytral length, $4.3-4.8 \mathrm{~mm}$; greatest elytral width, 2.3-2.6 mm; median pronotal length, $1.2-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$; greatest pronotal width, $2.1-2.3 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Female.-Clypeus not produced laterally, moderately and narrowly emarginate medially, barely exposing labroclypeal membrane; mentum simply convex without anterior tumescence. Elytral length, 4.4-4.6 mm; greatest elytral width, 2.52.6 mm ; pronotal length, $1.2-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$; greatest pronotal width, $1.9-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Holotype $\begin{gathered}\text { (California Academy of Sciences) from Arizona, Cochise County, }\end{gathered}$ Rustler Park, Chiricahua Mountains, 8-9000 ft., July 27, 1927. Van Dyke Col-


Figs. 3-4. Sitophagus alveolatus. 3, Dorsal aspect of head of male. 4, Aedeagus; a, ventral; b, lateral; c, detail of median lobe.
lection. Paratypes as follows: Arizona, Rose Canyon, V-5-1963 (1 \&); Cochise County, Chiricahua Mountains, 8500 ft ., V-4-1969 ( 2 of); 6200 ft ., VI-20-1928, A. A. Nichol (1 \&); IX-14-1938, D. J. \& J. N. Knull (1 8); 8000 ft., X-6-1974, K. Stephan (1 \&); Huachuca Mountains, 9000 ft., VII-1929, H. H. Wenzel (1 \&). Graham County, Graham Mountains, V-18-1969, K. Stephan (2 ôô); Navajo County, Springerville, IX-15-1972, K. Stephan (1 ס, 1 \&). Pima County, Rincon Mountains, IV-11-1976, K. Stephan (2 $\delta \delta$ ) ; Santa Catalina Mountains, VII-1936, E. Ross ( 1 ô); VII-1938, Bryant (3 ôô, 2 ¢̊); 7000 ft ., XI-9-1974, K. Stephan (1 ©); 8500 ft ., IV-12-1969 (1 \&); 7000 ft., IV-5-1967 (1 \&); Mt. Lemon, IV-25-1970, K. Stephan ( 2 ઠิठ).

Sitophagus alveolatus is similar to fuliginosus Champion in having the clypeus broadly, truncately emarginate. It differs in the subhorizontal clypeal processes, with the antennal orbits nearly flat (processes upturned, orbits raised in fuliginosus).

## Key to Adult Sitophagus of North and Central America

1. Head convex between eyes

- Head excavated between eyes (Fig. 5) ............ dilatifrons Champion

2(1). Clypeus truncate between lateral projections (Fig. 3) .................. 3

- Clypeus convex between lateral projections (Fig. 6)
hololeptoides Laporte
3(2). Antennal orbits swollen, upturned; lateral clypeal projections upcurved; body shining black
fuliginosus Champion
- Antennal orbits nearly tlat; lateral clypeal projections subhorizontal; body medium to dark brown
alveolatus new species.


## Taxonomic Position of Adelina and Sitophagus

Adults of Adelina and Sitophagus are strikingly modified for living beneath tightly adherent bark of dead trees. The broad body is strongly flattened, often
with a distinct, subvertical epipleuron defined by a fine carina just medial to the 8th stria. The antennae are unusually long for Tenebrionidae, reaching well beyond the pronotal base in most Adelina. Superficially these beetles resemble Cucujidae and, especially, Laemophloeidae, with which they are frequently found in collections that have not been examined by specialists. Both genera show strong sexual dimorphism. The epistomal region of the male is variably produced as foliaccous or horn-like expansions, and the frons and vertex are modified in some species.

The phyletic relationships of Adelina and Sitophagus have never been properly interpreted, probably because of the morphological peculiarities mentioned above. LeConte (1862) placed Adelina in his Adelinini, which was an assemblage of remotely related genera. Lacordaire (1859) included Sitophagus in his tenebrionides vrais ( $=$ Tenebrionini) and this placement was later accepted by Horn (1870) and LeConte and Horn (1883). Horn (1870) included in Sitophagus the species properly belonging in Adelina. Champion (1886) recognized two genera, Doliema (= Adelina) and Sitophagus, which he transferred to the Ulomini without specifying why. Subsequent cataloguers have accepted that position, and in the only recent papers dealing explicitly with these genera (Spilman, 1967, 1973; Ardoin, 1977) phyletic relationships were not addressed.

Tschinkel and Doyen (1980) noted that in three species of Adelina the structure of the female reproductive tract and defensive glands were similar to those of Diaperini. Based on this evidence and on similarities to Diaperini in wing configuration and venation, metendosternite structure and tentorial shape, Doyen and Tschinkel (1982: 182) suggested that Doliema, Gnatocerus, and perhaps other genera included in Ulomini should be transferred to Diaperini. The important characters supporting this placement are summarized in the following section.

Adelina and Sitophagus share a strikingly apomorphic acdeagal structure (Figs. $2,4,7,8$ ). The basal piece is much shorter than the parameres. The median lobe consists of a median structure which appears to be the intromittant organ and a pair of lateral lobes which move ventrolaterally when the organ is protruded from the aedeagal sheath. These structures are here termed the penis and clavae, respectively. Both penis and clavae vary in detail. In Sitophagus alveolatus the penis consists of a pair of sclerotized, lateral struts with slightly downturned apices (Fig. 4). The clavae are simple, membranous but rigid processes. In $S$. hololeptoides the clavae are setose (Fig. 8), and in Adelina quadridentata Champion they are secondarily attached to a baculus that hinges against the aedeagal sheath (Fig. 7). In A. latiramosa the apex of the penis is membranous with a pair of stout, upturned laciniac (Fig. 2).

Variation in male genitalia was noticed by Ardoin, who provided simplified diagrams of the aedeagi of Adelina species. Spilman (1967) had previously recognized the unusual nature of these structures, giving detailed descriptions of their configuration in Doliodesmus and Doliopines as well as some species of Adelina and Sitophagus. Further comparisons by me have shown that the same genitalic structure is shared by three other genera, Cynaeus, Alphitophagus and Gnatocerus. It may be expected that the morphologically similar Iccius Champion and Sicimus Champion have male genitalia of the same type.

This group of genera evidently forms a monophyletic lineage within the Diaperini. The most specialized genera are Adelina and Gnatocerus. Alphitophagus, which has traditionally been included in Diaperini, and Cynacus are the most primitive in external features. Ecologically these beetles seem less specialized than


Figs. 5-8. 5, Dorsal aspect of head of Sitophagus dilatifrons. 6, S. hololeptoides. 7, Aedeagus of Adelina quadridentata Champion; a, ventral; b, lateral; c , detail of median lobe. 8, Aedeagus of $S$. hololeptoides; a, ventral; b, lateral; c, dorsal aspect of median lobe; d, lateral aspect of median lobe.
most other Diaperini, which largely feed on polypore fungi. The subcortical area of relatively old dead trees is the natural habitat of most, but Alphitophagus and Cynaeus now occur in stored grain, where they apparently feed on fungi. Because of its morphological and ecological distinctness, nomenclatural recognition at the subtribal level is appropriate for this lineage. The proper name appears to be Adelinina LeConte (1862: 237). At present the remaining genera should be kept in a single subtribe, Diaperina, which may eventually need to be subdivided.

## Reconstitution of Diaperini

In terms of internal structures the diaperine lineage (Doyen and Tschinkel, 1982) is one of the most distinctive and uniform groups of Tenebrionidae. The most important features characterizing the diaperine group are as follows: 1) The ovipositor has relatively large gonostyles, with the 4th coxite lobes relatively large and free. The 1 st coxite lobe is small and folded under the second; 2) The internal female reproductive tract retains a bursa copulatrix. The basal portion of the spermathecal accessory gland is modified as a characteristic capsular spermatheca. In Diaperini the bursa copulatrix usually bears a circle of stiff, transparent cuticle;
3) The defensive gland reservoirs bear annular foldings in their walls; 4) The

Table 1．Distribution of important taxonomic characters among North American diaperine genera which have been examined in detail．

|  | $\frac{\stackrel{\pi}{訁}}{\frac{0}{4}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\pi}{=} \\ & \frac{\square}{8} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \check{0} \\ & \stackrel{.}{0} \\ & \stackrel{O}{\circ} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { 最 } \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 若 } \\ & \stackrel{c}{U} \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\pi}{E} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{U}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{a}} \end{aligned}$ |  | 会 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diaperine $q$ tract and ovipositor |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\mathrm{X}^{3}$ |
| Tentorium looped |  |  | 2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Glands with helical folds |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\mathrm{X}^{4}$ | X | X | X | X |  |
| Labroclypeal mem－ brane exposed | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Mala without uncus |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Meso－，metatibia ridged |  |  |  | X | $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Wing membrane elon－ gate；recurrent cell reduced |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\mathrm{X}^{4}$ | X | X | X | X | X |
| Coelometopine $q$ tract and ovipositor | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenebrionine 9 tract |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonheteromeran tro－ chanters |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Larval antenna 2 －seg－ mented |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Present in S．dilatifrons．
${ }^{2}$ Absent．
${ }^{3}$ Ovipositor strongly aberrant．
${ }^{4}$ Sometimes intermediate．
tentorium has the bridge located anteriorly and looped far dorsally（Doyen and Tschinkel，1982：Figs．2－3）；5）The wings have the apical membranous portion relatively long，with the recurrent cell reduced or absent（Doyen and Tschinkel， Figs．33－34）．Two additional important apomorphies characterizing Diaperini were pointed out by Triplehorn（1965）．First，the labroclypeal membrane is ex－ posed．Second，the metatibia bears on its external（dorsal）surface a fine，crenulate longitudinal ridge．A similar ridge is often more strongly developed on the me－ sotibia．

This suite of characters is distributed among North American genera as shown in Table 1．Included are taxa traditionally but erroneously placed in Diaperini as well as taxa formerly in Ulomini but properly placed in Diaperini．The most important changes suggested by these data are as follows：

1）The group of genera constituting the subtribe Adelinina are undisputed members of Diaperini，sharing all or nearly all important features．The Ulomini， in which all of these genera except Alphitophagus were previously placed，differ fundamentally in all of the features described above（see Doyen and Tschinkel， 1982：161－163）．Their elongate，flattened body shape and specialized male gen－ italia differentiate Adelinina from Diaperina．

2）The genera Phayllus Champion and Cosmonota Blanchard show all the
important diaperine features, but lack the male genitalic specializations of the Adelinina. They are placed in the Diaperina. External characters suggest that Lelegis Champion, Paniasis Champion, and Loxostethes Triplehorn, which have not been dissected, certainly belong in Diaperini. Their relationships are discussed by Triplehorn (1962). Stenoscapha Bates is very similar to Liodema Horn of the Diaperina. Saptine Champion appears to be very similar to Platydema, but the type of the former lacks the head and prothorax.
3) The genus Apsida Lacordaire belongs to the coelometopine lineage of Doyen and Tschinkel, probably to Cnodalonini. Apsida shows the very distinctive ovipositor and female reproductive tract of the coelometopine lineage (Doyen and Tschinkel, 1982: 164-165), and conforms in wing, tentorial and defensive gland configuration. As in other coelometopines and cnodalonines the tarsae bear densely setose pads and the tibiae are apically setose. Gonospa Champion belongs near Apsida in Coelometopini, but I have not made dissections.
4) Metaclisa, excluded from Diaperini by Arnett (1960) and Triplehorn (1965) belongs to the tenebrionine lineage of Doyen and Tschinkel, where it fits conveniently into the Tenebrionini.
5) Scaphidema has traditionally been placed in Diaperini, but with reservations because of its atypical external structures such as widely separated meso- and metacoxae. The internal female reproductive tract and defensive glands deviate from the diaperine pattern, and the ovipositor is more primitive, with the large 4th coxite lobes entirely free. These characters are shared with the Australian Spiloscapha Bates. Both genera have anterior trochanters which are not overlapped laterally by the femora (nonheteromeran trochanters of Watt, 1974), and lack the tentorial bridge. Their larvae have distinct urogomphi and antennae with the 3 rd segment greatly reduced, without a strip of cuticle between the antennal base and mandible. These and other characters indicate that Scaphidema and Spiloscapha are the most primitive members of the tribe Nilionini, which will be treated in more detail in the future (Doyen and Lawrence, in prep.).

Uloporus Casey and Menimopsis Champion, included in Diaperini in previous classifications, have previously been removed to the Archeocrypticidae (Lawrence, 1977; Triplehorn and Wheeler, 1979) and Gnathidiini (Doyen and Lawrence, 1979), respectively. Kaszab (1981) subsequently synonymized Uloporus under Enneboeus (Waterhouse).

Even after the removal of the Adelinina, the tribe Ulomini remains composite. Uloma and its relatives are strongly differentiated in external as well as some internal characters. Ulomini should be restricted to this group, represented in North America by Uloma Laporte, Eutochia LeConte, Alegoria Laporte, Uleda Laporte, Antimachus Gistel and possibly Ulosonia Laporte. Tribolium McLeay, Palorus Mulsant, Latheticus Waterhouse, Lyphia Mulsant \& Rey, Mycotrogus Horn and Tharsus LeConte are phenetically more similar to Tenebrio, and should be provisionally moved to Tenebrionini. That tribe is presently composite, containing many members of Coelometopini and Cnodalonini. Limits of these tribes will be specified in future work (Doyen, in prep.).

The rearrangements proposed above will make present keys to tribes inadequate. It may be pointed out that Diaperini cannot be keyed to tribe in Arnett (1960). In the key to tribes of Tenebrionini, couplet $2 b$, presence of an external labroclypeal membrane, would lead to couplet 21 and thence to the tribes Helopini or


#### Abstract

Strongyliini. Because of the numerous rearrangements still required in tribes such as Tenebrionini it is premature to construct a new key at present. The following minor change in Arnett's key will accommodate most Diaperini. 2 (1). Epistoma without a membranous margin or a membranous band be- tween it and labrum ..... 3 - Epistoma with a membranous margin or a membranous band between it and labrum ..... 2a $2 \mathrm{a}(2)$. Middle and hind tibiae bearing a longitudinal, finely crenulate carina on the outer (dorsal) margin ..... Diaperini - Middle and hind tibiae lacking carina on outer margin ..... 21


## Tribe Diaperini, new sense

Diaperales Latreille, 1802: 161.
Diaperides Redtenbacher, 1845: 128; Lacordaire, 1859: 298.
Adult. -Small to moderate ( $2-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), elongate to subglobular, flattened to convex. Eyes large, anteriorly emarginate, or occasionally small, entire; antennae gradually enlarged or bearing distinct 5-8 segmented club; apical 5-7 segments bearing large, stellate sensoriae; labrum strongly transverse, basal membrane almost always exposed; mandible with mola finely, transversely striate, rarely flat or highly modified; lacinia finely setose, without uncus; palp subcylindrical or weakly triangular; tentorium with bridge anterior, strongly arched dorsad. Apical membrane at least $33 \%$ wing length; recurrent cell much reduced or absent; mesoand metatibiae bearing fine, crenulate ridge on outer (posterior) surface, or rarely smooth; mesocoxal cavities closed by mesepimeron or meso- and metasterna; metendosternite with arms usually expanded as apical muscle disks. Ovipositor with gonostyles terminal, moderate in size; coxite with basal lobe reduced, folded under second lobe; or ovipositor highly modified (Diaperis). Internal female reproductive tract with bursa copulatrix, capsular spermatheca at base of accessory gland. Defensive reservoirs without common volume; reservoir walls usually with annular folding; secretory tissue drained by basal line of ducts or few large collecting ducts. Aedeagus with tegmen dorsal; median lobe free or occasionally adnate, or aedeagus highly modified.

Larva.-Cylindrical or subcylindrical, slender to moderately stout, tapering somewhat posteriorly, moderately sclerotized and pigmented to weakly sclerotized, cream colored; ocelli present or absent.

Antennae 3 segmented; second segment about twice length of basal, bearing C-shaped sensorium near apex; third segment a digitate process, less than half length of second and bearing a single long and several short setae at apex. Labrum about as wide as long to distinctly transverse, with semicircular anterior margin; tormae submedial, projecting strongly backward; epipharynx with asymmetrical masticatory processes. Mandibles asymmetrical; right mola lacking transverse ridges, developed at apex as blunt prominence; left mola set with fine transverse ridges, apical surface receding. Maxillae with mala rounded, without uncus or indentations, setose or spinose on medial surface. Labium with subtrapezoidal hypopharyngeal sclerome set behind setose prominence; ligula short to moderate, bearing 2-4 apical setae. Thoracic legs slender, similar in size and structure, bearing slender setae. Ninth abdominal tergite much larger than sternite, with
projecting, acutely rounded or pointed apex, or shorter, bluntly rounded with median, bifid tubercle (Diaperis); anus subterminal; pygopods moderate to large, glabrous or very finely, sparsely setose. Spiracles simple annular or with peripheral air tubes (Diaperis).

## Subtribe Adelinina

Adelinini LeConte, 1862: 237.
Doliemini Reitter, 1917: 58, Kwieton, 1982: 98.
Gnatocerini Skopin, 1978: 228.
Flattened, elongate oval beetles, antennae gradually enlarged; eyes narrower than frons across epistomal canthus (exception, Alphitophagus); mesocoxal cavities closed by sterna; epipleuron abruptly narrowed near anterior margin of 5th abdominal sternite; aedeagus with clavae.
Included North American genera: Adelina Dejean; Sitophagus Mulsant; Gnatocerus Thunberg (including Sicinus Champion); Iccius Champion; Alphitophagus Stephens; Doliopines Horn; Doliodesmus Spilman; Cynaeus LeConte.

Described members of this lineage are native to the New World, with the exception of Doliema turcica Redtenbacher, nitidula Macleay, ferruginea Kaszab, platisoides Pascoe and tenuicornis Fairmaire. The type of platisoides (the generitype) is very similar in general appearance to the New World species of Adelina. It bears serrulate ridges on the hind tibiae and compound sensoria on the apical 6 antennomeres. On this basis it is provisionally included in Adelinina. However, nitidula lacks ridges on the hind tibiae, has simple antennal sensoria, and shows none of the diagnostic internal features of Diaperini. It properly belongs to Platycotylus Olliff, originally described in Cucujidae, but probably close to Lorelus (Tenebrionidae; Lagriinae) (Doyen and Lawrence, in preparation). The remaining species have not been examined. The name Doliema should be retained for the Old World species (except nitidula) until more detailed comparisons have been made, especially of internal structures.

## Subtribe Diaperina

Diaperales Latreille, 1802: 161.
Diaperides Redtenbacher, 1845: 128; Lacordaire, 1859: 298.
Globular or short oval beetles. Antennae gradually enlarged or with distinct 58 segmented club; eyes exceeding epistomal canthi; mesocoxal cavities closed by mesepimeron (exception, Pentaphyllus); epipleuron gradually narrowed to elytral apex (exception, Diaperis, some Neomida); adeagus without clavae.
Included North American genera: Diaperis Müller; Pentaphyllus Dejean; Neomida Latreille; Palembus Casey; Liodema Horn; Platydema LaPorte \& Brullé; Lelegis Champion; Paniasis Champion; Loxostethes Triplehorn; Phayllus Champion; Saptine Champion; Stenoscapha Bates.

This subtribe is nearly cosmopolitan and includes the Old World genera Basanus Lacordaire, Ischnodactylus Chevrolat, Ceropria Laporte \& Brullé, and probably most other names presently included in Diaperini.

The variation in antennal form, epipleuron shape and in larval 9th segment configuration (see Hayashi, 1966) suggests that Diaperina is composite. Two groups
of genera are apparent. Diaperis, Pentaphyllus, Neomida, and Loxostethes are strongly convex, with the antennae distinctly clubbed. Platydema, Liodema stenoscapha, Lelegis, Paniasis, Phayllus and Saptine are less convex, with the antennae gradually enlarged. Palembus seems intermediate, with weakly convex body but distinctly clubbed antennae. Diaperis, especially, is aberrant. Its peculiarities have been discussed by Watt (1974: 405-407) and Doyen and Tschinkel (1982: 163).

## Key to the Genera of Adult Diaperini North of Mexico

1. Antennae with terminal 5-8 segments enlarged as distinct club ... 2

- Antennae gradually enlarged to apex, or subfiliform .............. 5

2(1). Antennal club of 6-8 enlarged segments; eyes emarginate anteriorly

- Antennal club of 5 segments; eyes round, not at all emarginate
Pentaphyllus Dejean

3(2). Ventral distance between eyes greater than width of oral fossa; epipleuron usually narrowed abruptly near anterior margin of 5 th sternite 4

- Ventral distance between eyes less than width of oral fossa; epipleu-
ron narrowing gradually to elytral apex ............ . Palembus Casy

4(3). Body broadly oval, strongly convex; without frontal horns; body length greater than 4 mm

Diaperis Müller

- Body elongate oval, subcylindrical; males with frontal horns, females with tubercles; body length less than $5 \mathrm{~mm} . . . .$. . . Neomida Latreille
5(1). Mesocoxal cavities closed by meso- and metasterna 7
- Mesocoxal cavities bordered laterally by mesepimeron ..... 6
6(5). Mesosternum concave between middle coxaePlatydema LaPorte \& Brullé
- Mesosternum projecting anterad as prominent, horizontal lobes
Liodema Horn
7(5). Epipleuron narrowed abruptly near anterior margin of 5th sternite; dorsum glabrous ..... 8
- Epipleuron narrowed gradually to elytral apex; dorsum setose Alphitophagus Stephens
8(7). Posterior pronotal corners angulate, sharp ..... 10
- Posterior pronotal corners broadly rounded ..... 9
9(8). Antennal segment 4 about 1.5 times length of 2 ; meso- and metatibiae with outer surface evenly convex Doliodesmus Spilman
Antennal segment 4 about 2.5 times length of 2; meso- and metatibiaebearing fine, crenulate ridge on outer surface . . . . . . . Doliopines Horn
10(8). Elytra with 8th interval simply convex or nearly flat; antennae with segments $8-10$ at least as wide as long ..... 11
- Elytra with 8th interval sharply angulate, usually weakly carinate;antennae with segments 8-10 longer than wide ....... Adelina Dejean
11(10). Posterior pronotal border bisinuate; mandible normal ..... 12
- Posterior pronotal border evenly convex, mandibles of males bearing dorsal horns Gnatocerus Thunberg
12(11). Pronotal disk evenly convex; anterior corners angulate

> Pronotal disk flat medially, declivous laterally, especially near front margin; anterior corners rounded ................. Sitophagus Mulsant
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## Note

## A New Synonym in Hexagenia (Ephemeroptera: Ephemeridae)

The common burrowing mayfly, Hexagenia limbata (Serville), has recently been shown in field and laboratory experiments by McCafferty and Pereira (1984. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 77: 69-87) to be highly variable, with the source of much of this variability being attributable to temperatures of the developmental environment. That study also showed that the range of variability included all of the color pattern characteristics previously associated with Hexagenia munda Eaton, with particular reference to the abdomen but also including the lack of costal crossvein margination and the color of the costal membrane, both of which were used by Spieth (1941. Amer. Midl. Nat. 26: 239) to key H. munda from $H$. limbata. The shape of the penes has also been proposed as a distinguishing specific character. After many years of identifying Hexagenia from throughout North America (H. limbata and H. munda are reportedly sympatric over most of eastern N.A., McCafferty, 1975. Trans. Am. Entomol. Soc. 101: 470), I have found these possible penes differences indiscernible. B. C. Kondratieff (pers. comm., 1983) has also not been able to discern supposed penes differences and has seriously doubted the validity of $H$. munda. Differences in curvature of the penes drawn by Spieth (1941: 278) are miniscule and can be duplicated in many limbata specimens by a slight rotation, or by the angle of view in a slide mount. Even if such differences occur, they would appear to represent only slight intraspecific variability, particularly in light of the extreme range of variability of other characters of $H$. limbata.

Underlying abdominal color pattern of the adults that has been used to attempt to distinguish larvae of $H$. munda expresses only a known variation of $H$. limbata. I have also determined that tusk length varies considerably in H. limbata larvae and includes size differences previously suspected of being specific for some $H$. munda.

On the basis of the above I designate $H$. munda Eaton as a NEW JUNIOR SYNONYM of $H$. limbata (Serville). Fourteen specific epithets are now referable to $H$. limbata, including seven that have been synonymized with $H$. munda.
W. P. McCafferty, Department of Entomology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907. Purdue Exp. Stat. Journal No. 9846.
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